

Cambridge International AS & A Level

THINKING SKILLS
Paper 2 Critical Thinking
MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 50

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2022 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of 12 printed pages.

© UCLES 2022 [Turn over

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
 is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
 referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© UCLES 2022 Page 2 of 12

ANNOTATIONS

✓	In Qs 1, 3 and 4 use to indicate where marks have been awarded.
×	Use to indicate an answer or element that is wrong.
NGE	Not good enough.
BOD	Benefit of doubt.
AE	In Q5 use to indicate creditworthy other argument element. In Q3 use to indicate 'significant additional element'.
CON	In Qs 2 and 5 use to indicate 'conclusion'.
Ē	In Qs 2 and 5 and in short questions where indicated, use to indicate that marks have been capped because an essential element of the answer is absent.
EVAL	In Q2 use to indicate creditworthy evaluation of a source.
I	In Q5 use to indicate creditworthy intermediate conclusion.
P	In Q2 use to indicate creditworthy personal thinking. In Q3 use to indicate paraphrase.
R	In Q2 use to indicate creditworthy inferential reasoning. In Q5 use to indicate creditworthy reason used to support a conclusion.
5	In Q2 use to indicate creditworthy use of a source. In Q5 use to indicate distinct strand of reasoning.
^	In appropriate cases, use to indicate significant omission. In Q3 use to indicate 'significant omission'.
SEEN	Use in answers when no other annotations have been used. Use on blank pages.
Highlight	Use to draw attention to part of an answer.

There must be at least one annotation on each page of the answer booklet.

Question	Answer	Marks	
1(a)(i)	It is from an expert source/source with ability to see which increases its reliability [1]. However, as it comes from the nuclear power industry, its reliability is weakened by vested interest to promote their industry [1] and is biased, making it likely to disregard more significant problems [1].		
1(a)(ii)	1 mark for any of the following:	1	
	 The solutions are not explained/specified/no examples given. There is no comment on the effectiveness of these solutions/the time scale is not assessed. There may problems other than waste disposal associated with nuclear power. 		
1(b)	To a limited/no extent [1]. Whilst making a general point about the possibility of accidents [1] it does not specify whether the accidents at the nuclear power plants have been related to nuclear waste disposal/the accidents were not related to waste disposal [1]. If they are related to a different issue, the accidents have no specific relevance to the question of the safety of methods of nuclear waste disposal [1].	3	
1(c)	 One mark for each valid explanation Examples of valid answers: Nuclear power may be the main source of power in some countries making it unfeasible to phase nuclear power out/countries phasing it out have only a small amount of energy generated through nuclear power. Export of nuclear energy may be vital to the economy. Those countries where nuclear power is being phased out may be more environmentally conscious. It may be politically expedient to phase out nuclear power in those countries that are planning to. (e.g. population of country fear it) Countries where nuclear power is being phased out may have abundant sources of 'green' sources of energy e.g. solar power/those countries where nuclear power is not being phased out do not have alternative sources of green power. Vested interests in the nuclear power industry may have more influence in countries where nuclear power is not being phased out. 	3	
1(d)	Source A states that present technology does not offer a viable way of producing nuclear fusion [1]. This is because the amount of energy needed to produce it is greater than the energy produced [1]. This means that the problem is not solely whether it is affordable [1]. The authors in Source B are entirely missing this point by assuming that the ability to produce fusion opens up an automatic pathway to producing viable fusion [1].	3	

© UCLES 2022 Page 4 of 12

Question	Answer	Marks
1(e)	2 marks for a correct answer with accurate explanation 1 mark for a correct answer with vague, incomplete or generic explanation 0 marks for a correct answer without explanation 0 marks for an incorrect answer with or without explanation	2
	2-mark answer Source E is an argument. The main conclusion is 'Nuclear power is a vital source of green energy'. Green energy is defined, and the conclusion supported by reasoning about the advantages it has over solar and wind power, a counter argument against critics who raise the problem of nuclear waste and a second line of reasoning which explains why nuclear fission is the only form of nuclear power available that will be relevant to combating global warming.	
	If candidates identify the main conclusion and one of the above aspects of the reasoning they should get 2 marks.	
	1-mark answer Source E is an argument, because it includes a persuasive conclusion supported by reasons.	

© UCLES 2022 Page 5 of 12

	Answer	Marks
Conclusion	1 mark for an explicit supported conclusion Cap at 7 if conclusion is absent or implicit	8
Use of sources	2 marks for use of at least 4 sources 1 mark for use of at least 1 source	
Evaluation of sources	1 mark for each valid evaluation of the credibility or quality of reasoning in sources Maximum 3 marks	
Inferential reasoning from sources	1 mark each Maximum 3 marks	
Personal thinking	1 mark each Maximum 2 marks	
Annotate answers	s as follows:	
To indicate To ind	ate creditworthy use of source. ate creditworthy evaluation of source. ate creditworthy inferential reasoning. ate creditworthy personal thinking.	
Indicative content	t	
 energy. But it is not cle waste are justi Or that there is source of ener has no solution Source B show viable option. However, the sproblem of the Source C suggethowever in the spandoned the source D show on nuclear power in the species of the source of the source in the source of the source in the source of the source in the source in the source in the source in the source of the source in the source of the source in t	ear that uneasiness about methods of disposing of nuclear fied is no possible solution to the problem of nuclear fusion as a gy- it is not clear if this is a technical problem or one which in in principle going on the laws of physics. We that a number of scientists see hot nuclear fusion as a source does not answer the question of whether the amount of energy needed can be overcome in principle. By the gests two scientists managed to achieve cold fusion ody has replicated this, and most scientists have the idea. We considerable differences in European countries policies wer. The same those continuing with nuclear power that is a good option.	
	Use of sources Evaluation of sources Inferential reasoning from sources Personal thinking Annotate answers CON To indicate To indicat	Conclusion 1 mark for an explicit supported conclusion Cap at 7 if conclusion is absent or implicit Use of sources 2 marks for use of at least 4 sources 1 mark for use of at least 1 source Evaluation of sources Maximum 3 marks Inferential reasoning from sources Maximum 3 marks Inferential reasoning from sources Maximum 3 marks Inferential reasoning from Maximum 3 marks Personal 1 mark each Maximum 2 marks Annotate answers as follows: COM To indicate creditworthy use of source. To indicate creditworthy evaluation of source. To indicate creditworthy inferential reasoning. To indicate creditworthy personal thinking. To indicate creditworthy personal thinking. To indicate that mark has been capped. Indicative content Source A explains problems with both methods of generating nuclear energy. But it is not clear that uneasiness about methods of disposing of nuclear waste are justified Or that there is no possible solution to the problem of nuclear fusion as a source of energy- it is not clear if this is a technical problem or one which has no solution in principle going on the laws of physics. Source B shows that a number of scientists see hot nuclear fusion as a viable option. However, the source does not answer the question of whether the problem of the amount of energy needed can be overcome in principle. Source C suggests two scientists managed to achieve cold fusion However nobody has replicated this, and most scientists have abandoned the idea. Source D shows considerable differences in European countries policies on nuclear power. However, we cannot assume those continuing with nuclear power necessarily see it as a good option.

© UCLES 2022 Page 6 of 12

Question	Answer	Marks
2	 It makes the key point that nuclear fusion is unlikely to be developed quickly enough to combat global warming though both the survey and the claims are unreliable because of the vested interest of the source. It does not specify what the solution to the problem of nuclear waste is so this solution cannot be evaluated. If it is burying waste at sea, then Source A shows a great deal of concern about this solution. 	

© UCLES 2022 Page 7 of 12

Question	Answer	
In Q3, ann	otate as follows:	
Sign	nificant additional element nificant omission aphrase	
In all parts	c), (d) and (e), if two answers are given, one of which is correct, award 1 mark. of Q3, apply guidance relating to additional material only if it constitutes an addit	ional
part of an a	answer or an alternative answer.	
3(a)	2 marks for an exact answer 1 mark for a paraphrase, or for one additional element or omission	2
	Travel must cease to be a central feature of modern life.	
3(b)	For up to 2 of the following: 2 marks for an exact answer 1 mark for a paraphrase, or for one additional element or omission If more than two answers given, mark the first three only	4
	 (So) not travelling would make a major contribution to avoiding such devastating pandemics in the future. The pace of global warming would slow significantly if people travelled less. A massive decrease in the amount of travel would prevent such environmental and social damage. we must not let the vanity projects of overambitious politicians destroy our heritage 	
3(c)	2 marks for an exact answer 1 mark for a paraphrase or for one additional element or omission (such as) the coronavirus in 2020	2
3(d)	 For up to 2 of the following: 2 marks each for an exact version of any of the following 1 mark each for an incomplete or vague version of any of the following Meetings held by video are not significantly less effective than face to face meetings/face to face meetings provide no additional benefits The technical problems are not currently significantly bad / people are already sufficiently adjusted to working with remote meetings. 'Meeting' someone amounts to no more than seeing and hearing them. All meetings have the same characteristics as work meetings/non-work meetings do not require face to face contact. No meetings require face to face contact Allow: One should only travel when necessary. Meeting people is only done for reasons of work 	4

© UCLES 2022 Page 8 of 12

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)	The second sentence is an appeal to authority//emotion/fear [1]. It is a relevant appeal, because the scientists have expertise [1], and a proportionate one, because they are agreed on the matter [1]. It is a proportionate appeal to fear as the dangers are realistically assessed [1].	3
4(b)	The reference to 'vanity projects of overambitious politicians' is a personal attack [1], but it does not weaken the reasoning in the argument because it is not clear what counter-argument these politicians are making [1]. Also, the author states that infrastructure projects are necessary and so they cannot be caused simply by the vanity of overambitious politicians [1]. However, by claiming these infrastructure projects are 'vanity projects', the author undermines his claim that they are necessary to modern travel systems [1]. 1 mark for identifying, 1 mark for assessing impact.	2
4(c)	The IC is that 'enormous amounts of time are wasted through travelling' [1]. However, the counter-argument that people can work on trains and planes is not effectively responded to [1] as the author irrelevantly refers to a mode of travel where one cannot work [1]. The author therefore fails to offer any convincing reason why time is necessarily wasted when travelling [1]. The only conclusion one could draw from the counter argument is that one should travel by train or plane rather than car if one wants to be able to work whilst travelling [1]. This would not support the main conclusion of the argument [1]. Even if it is the case that long journeys are not unusual it could still be the case that most work-connected journeys are short/not involving an overnight stay [1].	3

© UCLES 2022 Page 9 of 12

Question		Answer	Marks
5	Reasons	2 marks for three or more reasons supporting conclusions 1 mark for one or two reasons supporting conclusions	8
	Inferential reasoning	1 mark for each use of an intermediate conclusion or chain of intermediate conclusions Maximum 3 marks	
	Argument elements	1 mark for each use of other argument elements that strengthens the reasoning: counter with response, example, evidence, analogy, hypothetical reasoning Credit each type only once per strand of reasoning Maximum 3 marks	
	Structure	1 mark for two or more distinct strands of reasoning	
	If a candidate side which is	narks for no conclusion or wrong conclusion, or a conclusion that w from the reasoning. argues for both sides of the question, do not give credit for the ultimately rejected; if the candidate does not choose between dit the stronger side (to a maximum of 6 marks).	
		material unrelated to the claim given on the question paper. material merely reproduced from the passage.	
	Annotate ans	swers as follows:	
	R To To AE To To To	indicate main conclusion. indicate creditworthy reason used to support a conclusion. indicate creditworthy intermediate conclusion. indicate creditworthy other argument element. indicate distinct strand of reasoning. indicate that mark has been capped.	

© UCLES 2022 Page 10 of 12

Question	Answer	Marks		
5	Example 8-mark answers			
	Support (123 words)			
	It is important that a clear separation is maintained between work and home. Without such a clear separation, people find it difficult to relax and can remain preoccupied with work in what should be their leisure time. This can have a negative effect on mental health and lead to problems such as anxiety and insomnia. Working from home makes it difficult to maintain such a separation. Whilst going to work can mean a stressful commute, there are ways this can be avoided, for example by cycling to one's workplace. Getting to work in this way also provides exercise, which contributes to both physical and mental health.			
	workplace.			
	Challenge (158 words)			
	Working from home is much more productive. Time that would have been wasted in travelling to work can be used to deal with work tasks. Not having to travel to work also avoids what for most people is a stressful start to the day. This means that one is in a more relaxed and positive state of mind when one starts the working day.			
	Whilst some would argue that it can be difficult to keep a clear separation between work and leisure if one works from home, this can be easily resolved			
	if one follows a few simple rules. For example, one should have a definite space which is reserved exclusively for work. This gives a clear definition to working hours. Breaks from work should be clearly defined and one should leave this space when having a coffee or lunch.			
	Therefore, it is not good for people to have to leave their home to go to their workplace.			

© UCLES 2022 Page 11 of 12

Question	Answer	Marks
5	 Acceptable 'challenge' conclusions: It is not good for people to have to leave their home to go to their workplace. It is good for people to be able to work from home. 	

© UCLES 2022 Page 12 of 12